1	CarpeLaw PLLC Robert S. Apgood, WSBA #31023		
2	Pro Hac Vice 5202 223 rd St. SE		
3	Bothell, WA 98021-8075		
4	(206)624-2379 (206)535-2930 fax		
5	Steven M. Wells, P.C.		
6	Steven M. Wells, Al. Bar No. 0010066		
7			
8			
9	Attorneys for the Plaintiff		
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ALASKA		
12	AT ANCHORAGE		
13	ava v vvzeva · i · i · i · i · i · i · i · i · i ·		
14	SUSAN AIKENS, an individual; and SUSAN AIKENS d/b/a KAVIK RIVER CAMP,	Case No.:	
15	Plaintiffs,	COMPLAINT	
16	·		
17	BBC WORLDWIDE REALITY	JURY DEMAND	
18	PRODUCTIONS, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company; BBC WORLDWIDE		
19	AMERICAS, INC., a Delaware Corporation; BBC WORLDWIDE LIMITED., a British		
20	company; BRITISH BROADCASTING CORPORATION, a British company;		
21	AARON MELLMAN, an individual; and		
22	JOHN DOES 1 - 10,		
23	Defendants.		
24			
25			
26			

COMPLAINT - 1

CARPELAW PLLC

5202 223rd Street SE

Bothell, Washington 98021

(206) 624-2379 - (206) 535-2930 (fax)

Case 3:17-cv-00032-SLG Document 1 Filed 02/17/17 Page 1 of 32

1	Plaintiff Susan Aikens ("Aikens") brings this action for Breach of Contract, and		
2	Supplemental Claims against Defendant British Broadcasting Corporation, a British		
3	company ("BBC"), Defendant BBC Worldwide Limited, a British company ("BBC WW"),		
4	and Defendant BBC Worldwide Americas Inc., a Delaware corporation ("BBC WWA"),		
5	Defendant BBC Worldwide Reality Productions, LLC, a California limited liability		
6	company ("BBC WWRP"), (collectively "Defendants"), Defendant Aaron Mellman, an		
7	individual, an	ad John Does $1 - 10$, and alleges as follows:	
8		I. THE PARTIES	
9	1.	Plaintiff Aikens is an individual residing in the state of Alaska.	
10	2.	Defendant British Broadcasting Corporation is a British company with its	
11	principal place of business in London, United Kingdom.		
12	3.	Defendant BBC Worldwide Limited is a British company with its principal	
13	place of business in London, United Kingdom.		
14	4.	Defendant BBC Worldwide Americas, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with	
15	its principal p	place of business in New York, NY.	
16	5.	Defendant BBC Worldwide Reality Productions, LLC is a California limited	
17	liability company with its principal place of business in Los Angeles, CA.		
18	6.	Defendant Aaron Mellman is an individual who is believed to reside in	
19	California, bu	at which shall be better ascertained through discovery,	
20	7.	Defendants John Does 1 through 10 are individuals or other legal entities	
21	who are, as yet, unidentified, but shall be named when so identified.		
22		II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
23	8.	This Court has personal jurisdiction within the District of Alaska over the	
24	Defendants b	ecause BBC is a British company with its principal place of business and	
25	operations in	London England and avails itself of the privileges and protections of this	
26	COMPLAINT	- 2 CARPELAW PLLC 5202 223 rd Street SE	

Case 3:17-cv-00032-SLG Document 1 Filed 02/17/17 Page 2 of 32

Bothell, Washington 98021 (206) 624-2379 - (206) 535-2930 (fax) district, BBC WW is a British company with its principal place of business in London England and avails itself of the privileges and protections of this district, BBC WWA is a Delaware corporation and avails itself of the privileges and protections of this district, BBC WWRP is a California Limited liability company and avails itself of the privileges and protections of this district, Aaron Mellman is an individual who has availed himself of the privileges and protections of this district, and plaintiff is an Alaska individual residing in Alaska. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1).

- 9. This Court has diversity jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims because the parties are citizens of different states and the claims herein exceed \$75,000, exclusive of attorneys' fees and costs. 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 10. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over any state claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 11. Venue is proper in this district because all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred within this district. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)(2).

III. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 12. Plaintiff Susan Aikens is an individual who resides at, owns, and operates Kavik River Camp, a camp located 197 miles north of the Arctic Circle, within the State of Alaska.
- 13. Defendant BBC is a British public service broadcaster. It is headquartered at Broadcasting House in London, is the world's oldest national broadcasting organization, and is the largest broadcaster in the world by number of employees, with over 20,950 staff in total. BBC is the parent company of Defendant BBC WW.
- 14. Defendant BBC WW is the main commercial arm and a wholly owned subsidiary of the British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC). The business exists to support the BBC public service mission and to maximize profits on its behalf, which it alleges to do

COMPLAINT - 3

through investing in, commercializing and showcasing content from the BBC around the world, in a way that is consistent with BBC standards and values.

- 15. Defendant BBC WWA manages media and entertainment businesses in America. The company's businesses include television programs, magazines, home entertainment, children's programming, and media. The company was incorporated in 1984 and is based in New York, New York. BBC Worldwide Americas, Inc. operates as a subsidiary of BBC Worldwide Limited.
- 16. Defendant BBC WWRP, upon information and belief, is a subsidiary of BBC WWA and is a motion picture and video business. BBC WWRP is the immediate party to the Participant Agreement and Accommodation and Location Agreement.
- 17. Defendant Aaron Mellman was, at all times relevant to this matter, an individual who was employed by, or contracted to, one or more of the BBC defendants.
- 18. Kavik River Camp is located at the Global Positioning Sensor ("GPS") coordinates 69.4° N, 146.54°W and is considered to be very remote.
- 19. Kavik River Camp provides aircraft fuel, for-purchase meals, and for-rent accommodations to nature enthusiasts, hikers, campers, fishermen, and hunters.
- 20. Defendants learned of Kavik River Camp and Susan Aikens, and negotiated an agreement with her whereby they would record Sue at the camp and surrounding areas performing her day-to-day tasks, such as camp maintenance, as well as such things as fishing and hunting for food (the "Participant Agreement"). A copy of the Participant Agreement that was in effect at the time of the incident complained of herein is provided hereto as Exhibit A, and by this reference hereby fully incorporated herein.
- 21. One provision of the Participant Agreement states, without equivocation, Plaintiff "agree[s] to participate in all phases of production of the Series and to cooperate with Producer, as and to the extent required by Producer." That provision further provides

COMPLAINT - 4

"that [Plaintiff] agree[s] that [she] will not hamper or delay the production schedule or be unwilling to cooperate or with Producer's production of the Series."

- 22. The Participant Agreement does not contain a provision whereby Plaintiff can refuse to perform as directed by the producer in circumstances where Plaintiff has fears for her safety.
- 23. With respect to provisons allowing Plaintiff to have rights of mutual agreement with Defendants, the Participant Agreement provides so only in the context of the production schedule, and only to the extent that it "relates to crew safety." It has no reciprocal provision for the safety of Plaintiff.
- 24. Defendants further negotiated an agreement whereby they would receive accommodations and use of the camp (the "Accommodation and Location Agreement'). A copy of the Accommodation and Location Agreement that was in effect at the time of the incident complained of herein is provided hereto as Exhibit B, and by this reference hereby fully incorporated herein.
- 25. The reality series, which airs on the Nat-Geo channel, is entitled, "Life Below Zero," with the first episode airing on May 19, 2013.
- 26. The series has thus far aired eight (8) seasons with an approximate count of aired episodes numbering 87.
- 27. The circumstances leading up to the incident about which Plaintiff seeks relief occurred during the filming of Season 5, Episode 11 on February 18, 2015 during the mid-day and thereafter (aired June 18, 2015).
- 28. The BBC film crew arrived at Kavik Feb 4, 2015 through Feb 8th, 2015. Weather and temps were severe enough to make arrival difficult. The crew consisted of Producer Aaron Mellman, cameraman Michael Cheeseman, an IT technician, name unknown, and AMS safety agent Jerrod Styart.

26 COMPLAINT - 5

- 29. Filming commenced approximately February 5th. Subject matters of filming were varied and involved fixing and moving snow and other items. Long periods of time in frigid temperatures were recorded.
- 30. Defendant Producer Mellman did not allow Plaintiff to wear a facemask, in spite of the temperatures dropping as low as 72 below zero wind-chill. Defendants felt it important that the audience see Plaintiff's face. As a result, even after requesting time away from filming and the ability to shield face, Plaintiff became frostbitten.
- 31. Filming continued almost daily. Plaintiff and Defendants did have to take a break from outdoor work due to frostbite Plaintiff suffered from working outdoors without a facemask.
- 32. Plaintiff reported these circumstances to Lauren Dascher and Joseph ("Joe") Litzinger from the Episodic Development department of BBC, the producing company.

 BBC and NatGeo (National Geographic Society) co-own the program. The program airs on the NatGeo channel.
- 33. When Plaintiff reported these incidents to Lauren and Joe, their response was for Plaintiff to take time off from filming to heal, and they assured Plaintiff that her safety was their highest concern.
- 34. Filming was postponed, but the parties returned to filming in just a few days.
- 35. Scenes in the episode involved the camp generator, Bobcat equipment and overhead power lines and were filmed from arrival through Feb. 11th.
 - 36. Plaintiff took the 12th and 13th to recover and start healing from frostbite.
- 37. Feb 14-16 were spent filming Plaintiff making snowshoes. On Feb 17th the subject matter covered camp chores. A camping episode was set up for Feb 18 to go to an

COMPLAINT - 6

area Plaintiff refers to as the Kavik Forest. However, Defendant Mellman refused to use the location, instead forcing the establishment of a camp just a few miles downriver.

- 38. Defendant Mellman then also refused to participate in the camping. Rather, he stayed in camp rather than shooting as planned.
- 39. Defendant Mellman also prohibited Plaintiff from taking her covered Argo, a covered and tracked vehicle, and instead forced the usage of the snow-machine and sled.
- 40. Defendant Mellman further forced Plaintiff to leave her elderly and frail dog, Ermine, behind, which upset Plaintiff greatly due to the fact that the series is not supposed to be a scripted show. This resulted in Plaintiff's old retired sled dog getting very distraught, thereby distressing Plaintiff.
- 41. Defendant Mellman instructed Plaintiff to go out to the remote camp, but insisted that Plaintiff leave the dog in Kavik River Camp. One cameraman, Michael Cheeseman, and the IT tech accompanied Plaintiff while Defendant Mellman remained in camp.
 - 42. The AMS safety staff stayed in camp with Defendant Mellman.
- 43. Defendant Mellman did visit the remote camp and supervise the set up, but did not stay for filming.
- 44. Plaintiff was setting up camp on the river, a few miles downstream from Kavik River Camp. The following morning Plaintiff felt uncomfortable about her dog, Ermie, and her care because Plaintiff did not trust the Defendants and worried for her dog's safety and care.
- 45. Plaintiff depended on Ermie, now deceased, for protection and companionship, and was uncomfortable leaving her at camp unsupervised with people back there.

COMPLAINT - 7

46. Plaintiff radioed to camp, but not receiving response, returned to camp on the snow machine.

- 47. Upon arriving back at Kavik River Camp, Plaintiff discovered that Ermie had not been cared for so Plaintiff let her out to relieve herself and fed her. Plaintiff asked Defendant Mellman when they could return to the remote camp when she was informed that Defendant Producer Mellman was not ready and was changing plans again for Plaintiff's activities.
- 48. Plaintiff called Joe and Lauren again to discussed her anger and upset at being commanded about what she could and could not do, what types of vehicles are better for the filming sequences, all without any regard by Defendants to safety.
- 49. Plaintiff's understands, and contracted with Defendants, that the series is supposed to be a documentary-style representation of Plaintiff's life and activities. It is not a scripted show wherein the film company makes the story lines up for effect.
- 50. Plaintiff felt that Defendants' conduct was not appropriate and expressed her concern that she would not leave her dog behind and living alone. Plaintiff was, in fact, extremely worried about Ermie, as Ermie was crying, which might well attract predators and/or be indicative of health issues.
- 51. Plaintiff had called Lauren and Joe multiple times during this time period regarding the confrontational nature of Defendant Mellman.
- 52. Because of the language of the Participant Agreement, and therefore feeling that she had no choice other than to do as Defendant Mellman commanded, Plaintiff returned to the remote camp tent site to continue filming. Eventually, Defendant Mellman and the safety people came out to the remote site so filming could continue. Because he had not participated in the filming, Defendant Mellman reviewed what Plaintiff and the camera crew had done the night before and that morning.

- 53. Plaintiff told Defendant Mellman that she wanted to leave the tent and sled and take her .410 shotgun to hunt ptarmigan, a mainstay staple food source for Plaintiff. There were, at that time, hundreds of those birds in the bushes in the area and it was an organic opportunity to gain meat and feathers for Plaintiff's subsistance.
- 54. Defendant Mellman did not want Plaintiff to do that. Instead, he commanded Plaintiff to dismantle the tent and strike the camp, and then travel the river and go into the overflow.
- 55. "Overflow" is the term applied to water that has been forced over the top of existing ice stretches of otherwise frozen rivers and streams. Although the river is covered with ice, flowing water still rushes beneath the ice, creating a perilous hazard.
- 56. Defendant Mellman wanted to film Plaintiff traveling down the river on her snow-machine, including into overflow. He got very angry when Plaintiff was resistant to that, yelling at her.
- 57. Defendant Mellman also yelled at the safety people, bellowing that they work for him, and not for Plaintiff, and that what he told them was the command that must be obeyed.
- 58. Plaintiff ended up in tears and could not continue filming until she stopped crying and could be filmed without showing anxiety.
- 59. About this time, Defendant Mellman noticed mist from overflow farther downriver.
- 60. As a result, Plaintiff was required to do an on-the-fly ("OTF") modification to the storyline being that she was heading for/through the overflow to collect water.
- 61. Plaintiff objected because traveling on overflow is very dangerous and Alaskans avoid it at all costs under normal situations.

26 COMPLAINT - 9

- 62. As Plaintiff started to take the tent down, the wind picked up and made the task difficult and dangerous because the wind was rolling the tent end over end.
- 63. Plaintiff was afraid of the metal attached to the tent hitting her. As such, Plaintiff asked for help for safety reasons.
- 64. Defendant Mellman yelled that no one was to go near Plaintiff, that they worked for him and not Plaintiff, and threatened that they would lose their jobs if they went to Plaintiff's aid.
- 65. Soon afterward, Plaintiff was hit in the head by a metal tent support that had been blown by the high winds.
- 66. This prompted another confrontation with Defendant Producer Mellman, because Plaintiff had extreme concerns about her safety in this situation and the direction the scripted scenes would take as a result of Defendant Mellman's actions and threats.
- 67. Plaintiff packed everything onto the sled and attached the sled to the snow machine and was instructed by Defendant Mellman to head down the river. The plan was to do long-lens and side-by-side shots.
- 68. Plaintiff was then commanded to remove her safety helmet because Defendant Mellman wanted to see her face in the ensuing footage.
- 69. Plaintiff was again made to be very uncomfortable removing her snow-machine safety gear, such as the helmet, because the river with overflow and ice is dangerous.
- 70. Because of how he envisioned the scene, Defendant Producer Mellman was adamant that part of the shoot would be to go through the overflow, not just next to it.
- 71. Plaintiff told Defendant Mellman that she would not go through the overflow, due to it being scary and dangerous. Rather, Plaintiff offered an alternative which would look similar. Defendant Mellman refused Plaintiff's suggestion and a

COMPLAINT - 10

confrontation ensued lasting a long time wherein Plaintiff was commanded to say it was her idea that she would go through the overflow at a high rate of speed in order to keep the tips from being caught under collapsing ice.

- 72. This argument was video recorded, as was everything else done that day.
- 73. Feeling pressured, Plaintiff finally acquiesced to Mellman's demands relying on his promises that if she performed as he demanded, he would leave camp and terminate filming done for the episode. Although we had too many confrontations, he insisted that this NEEDED to be done.
- 74. To ride the edge of the overflow area, and get through it, Plaintiff had to increase her speed significantly in order to make it without the skis dropping into collapsing ice.
- 75. Nevertheless, Plaintiff ended up hitting glare ice, and at 60 mph, had no control over the snow machine.
- 76. Plaintiff entered into an unrecoverable side slide and hit an ice heave (an accumulation of ice and snow). The snow-machine tumbled and Plaintiff was thrown quite a distance at a high rate of speed.
- 77. When Plaintiff landed from the throw, she could not move. She had heard her bones snap, but did not know if her firearm was lodged in her spine, or if the screwdriver in her pocket for fixing the sled had dislodged and embedded itself in or near vital organs.
- 78. Plaintiff finally heard someone ask her if she was okay. She told them "no" a few times, but that she had heard and felt bones break, and could not breathe well.
- 79. Plaintiff had donned her helmet just prior to filming the scene, and needed assistance to remove it.

COMPLAINT - 11

	80.	The safety person, Jarod, and crew came to where she had landed to help
assess	her inju	ries. Jarod asked her questions as he then worked to determine injuries. All
of this	was bei	ng filmed.

- 81. The entire crew was within 20 feet of the crash site.
- 82. A crew member (Plaintiff believes it was Jarod) called the BBC to tell them about the accident. Jonathan Paltin at BBC told them to call later, as he incorrectly assumed that this was a report of a simple nature and not the serious incident that it was.
- 83. A crew member (Plaintiff believes it was Jarod) called him right back to let him know it was quite serious and that Plaintiff was injured badly.
- 84. The Defendants decided NOT to call Life-flight, or for immediate pick up in the field with air taxi, in favor of getting additional footage.
- 85. During this time, Plaintiff was in extreme pain and going into shock, so she asked the IT technician to please prepare something to drink for her that was hot and contained sugar.
- 86. Plaintiff was told by Defendants that there was only one chance to get the extreme footage of her crash at the scene and that this needed to be done.
- 87. Plaintiff could not stand or walk and she refused to go try to pick up the snow machine as requested, or lay down on the snow in an attempt to recreate the scene.
- 88. Plaintiff forced out that she knew her collarbone and possibly her arm and ribs were broken. She explained that she didn't know how her legs or spine were, or if she had experienced injuries there. Plaintiff asked where her gun and screwdriver were so she could determine if either had been lodged in her or had hit her during the roll resulting from the crash.
- 89. Realizing how injured Plaintiff was, the cameramen didn't want to continue shooting, fearing that the lack of proper attention might cause Plaintiff serious harm.

COMPLAINT - 12

- 90. Plaintiff spoke with the BBC defendants, and, still thinking that her contract did not allow her to refuse the Defendants' demands, explained to them that she would do what she could, but that she was going into shock.
- 91. Since most of her clothing had been cut off to aid in assessment, and it was approx15-20 below zero, hypothermia was a concern and Plaintiff needed the rescue plane to land in the field next to her. A plane could have landed on the tundra next to the place Plaintiff was injured. The BBC said they understood how badly Plaintiff was hurt, but was insistent that Plaintiff stay out there long enough to get footage.
- 92. As an opportunity to get more footage, the BBC decided to land the plane on the runway, which was six or seven miles away, instead of out on the tundra.
- 93. Plaintiff could not drive a snow machine, but Defendants would not call to request the rescue airplane to land at the crash site.
- 94. As a result of that refusal, Plaintiff was forced to ride behind a snow machine driver on the river the 6-7 miles back to camp, protected from the elements by only her sports bra and long johns.
- 95. Due to Defendants actions and denials, Michael Cheeseman, one of the cameramen, felt compelled to film himself making a statement about how seriously Plaintiff was injured, and that he was filming at Defendants' command "under duress."
- 96. Mr. Cheeseman felt strongly that Plaintiff immediately should have been medically evacuated.
- 97. As a result of Defendants actions and denials, Plaintiff was forced to remain in the field for a few hours while the producer was shooting additional footage.
- 98. Plaintiff's snow machine was bent and broken, but drivable, and someone else drove it to camp.

COMPLAINT - 13

11

21

5202 223rd Street SE

- 123. As a result of the injuries, Plaintiff has suffered permanent disabilities that affect her ability to effectively sustain her lifestyle at Kavik River Camp and provide self defense.
 - 124. As a result of the injuries, Plaintiff's right clavicle is not properly aligned.
- 125. As a result of the injuries, the tendons in Plaintiff's neck "catches," causing her pain.
 - 126. Plaintiff is recognized by lawful authorities as a subsistence hunter.
- 127. As a result of the injuries, Plaintiff can no longer hold a rifle in a normal manner, which is necessary for her to be able to hunt for subsistence food stuffs.
- 128. As a result of not being able to hold a rifle in a normal manner, Plaintiff has had to suffer the expense of having a special firearm fabricated in order that she may hunt food for her subsistence.
- 129. As a result of the injuries, Plaintiff has suffered, continues to suffer, and will suffer unnecessary expenses required to assist in mitigating her losses.
- 130. As a result of the injuries and Plaintiff's inability to handle a rifle, Plaintiff's ability to defend herself from predators, such as bears and wolverines, and is dangerously compromised.
- 131. As a result of not being able to effectively defend herself, Plaintiff fears, and therefore necessarily cannot and does not venture out into the wild tundra to hunt for subsistence food stuffs
- 132. Defendants used footage and materials to create a fictionalized version of the incident and aftermath that resulted in the injuries suffered by Plaintiff.
- 133. At all times during the filming, Plaintiff did not believe that she had any ability to control whether or not she should continue with the filming of the episode.

COMPLAINT - 16

- 134. Plaintiff's Kavik River Camp is an ongoing business concern upon which Plaintiff relies to maintain the terms of the land lease she has with the State of Alaska on the underlying real property that is the situs of the camp.
- 135. If Plaintiff fails to show that the ongoing business is profitable, the State of Alaska can cancel the lease, thereby forcing Plaintiff out of business.
- 136. Defendants have interjected themselves into business relationships Plaintiff maintains with third parties in order to control Plaintiff's time, for the benefit of Defendants' desires to film scripted episodes.
- 137. Defendants desire that Plaintiff's companion and pet dog, "Lil Bawb," not be included in the filming of footage because, they believe, the dog is not of sufficient physical stature to further the image they desire of Plaintiff in the filmed episodes.
- 138. During the filming of an OTF that was been performed in Plaintiff's personal residence, Defendants objected to Lil Bawb being present in the footage. As a result, the producer of that sequence, with Plaintiff's permission, volunteered to hold Lil Bawb during the filming.
- 139. Instead of holding Lil Bawb, the producer locked Lil Bawb in one of the trailers in the camp without any protective clothing or blankets.
 - 140. The trailer was not heated and did not have any operational lights.
 - 141. The temperature in the trailer was estimated to be -20 degrees.
- 142. Plaintiff did not consent to having Lil Bawb locked in the trailer and, it was only when Plaintiff heard Lil Bawb crying for help that she discovered he had been locked in the trailer and subjected to potential, fatal injury.
- 143. When negotiating the Participant Agreement with Plaintiff, Defendants were attempting to contract for her services.

COMPLAINT - 17

1	144.	Defendants offered the Participant Agreement contract to Plaintiff on the	
2	terms they authored.		
3	145.	Plaintiff accepted Defendants' offer as presented.	
4	146.	The contract provides for consideration to be paid to Plaintiff for her	
5	performance	under the contract.	
6	147.	Under the contract, Defendants, inter alia, promised to pay Plaintiff for her	
7	performance.		
8	148.	Under the contract, Plaintiff promised to perform the services set forth in	
9	that contract.		
10	149.	Plaintiff performed the services required of her under the contract.	
11	150.	The consideration was paid to Plaintiff.	
12	151.	Plaintiff has garnered much publicity and limelight in her operations of	
13	Kavik River Camp.		
14	152.	Many people visit the camp for the purpose, inter alia, of meeting and	
15	interacting w	ith Plaintiff.	
16	153.	As a result of the injuries sustained in the crash and the need to be treated	
17	medically far from the camp, Plaintiff was required to be absent from Kavik River Camp		
18	for months during the short season when visitors can contract and pay for visits to the		
19	camp.		
20	154.	As a result of her forced absence, may people who had reserved food and	
21	lodging at the	e camp during those periods cancelled their visits.	
22		IV. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF	
23		CLAIM ONE BREACH OF CONTRACT	
24			
25			
26			

COMPLAINT - 18

CARPELAW PLLC 5202 223rd Street SE Bothell, Washington 98021 (206) 624-2379 - (206) 535-2930 (fax)

Case 3:17-cv-00032-SLG Document 1 Filed 02/17/17 Page 18 of 32

1	155.	Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges paragraphs $1 - 152$ as though fully set
2	forth herein.	
3	156.	All contracts contain implied covenants of good faith and fair dealing by the
4	parties to the contract.	
5	157.	By making unreasonable demands on Plaintiff for her performance under
6	the contract, Defendants breached the covenant of good faith.	
7	158.	The Participant Agreement is a binding contract between the parties.
8	159.	Defendants did not perform in good faith under the contract.
9	160.	Due to the non-performance of Defendants to act in good faith, Defendants
10	have breached their covenant to Plaintiff.	
11	161.	As a result of that breach, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be
12	proved at trial.	
13		CLAIM TWO BREACH OF CONTRACT
14		
15	162.	Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges paragraphs $1 - 159$ as though fully set
16	forth herein.	
17	163.	All contracts contain implied covenants of good faith and fair dealing by the
18	parties to the contract.	
19	164.	By including protective provisions in the Participant Agreement that served
20	only the interests of Defendants and no reciprocal protections for Plaintiff, Defendants	
21	breached the covenant of fair dealing.	
22	165.	The Participant Agreement is a binding contract between the parties.
23	166.	Defendants did not act with fair dealing under the contract.
24	167.	Due to the non-performance of Defendants to act fair dealing in the contract,
25	Defendants have breached their covenant to Plaintiff.	
26		
	COMPLAINT	- 19 CARPELAW PLLC 5202 223 rd Street SE

Bothell, Washington 98021

1	168.	As a result of that breach, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be	
2	proved at trial.		
3		CLAIM THREE BREACH OF CONTRACT	
4			
5	169.	Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges paragraphs $1 - 166$ as though fully set	
6	forth herein.		
7	170.	Paragraph 12 of the Participant Agreement provides that Plaintiff	
8	"understand[s]	and acknowledge[s] that [she is] solely responsible for determining whether	
9	[she is] physica	ally and mentally able to participate in the Series."	
0	171.	When Plaintiff determined that she was too injured to participate as a result	
11	of the crash, Defendants breached their duty to accept Plaintiff's determination and,		
12	instead, demanded and required Plaintiff to continue filming the episode.		
13	172.	Due to Defendants' refusals to accept Plaintiff's determination, Defendants	
14	breached their duty to Plaintiff under the contract.		
15	173.	As a result of that breach, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be	
16	determined at t	rial.	
17		CLAIM FOUR BREACH OF CONTRACT	
18	174.	Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges paragraphs $1 - 171$ as though fully set	
19	forth herein.		
20	175.	Paragraph 6 of the Participant Agreement provides, "Producer will not use	
21	the Footage and Materials to fictionalize an event."		
22	176.	Defendants used the Footage and Materials from the crash and thereafter to	
23	fictionalize that Plaintiff was able to and did drive a snow machine from the situs of the		
24	crash back to K	Kavik River Camp.	
25			
26	COMPLAINT -	20 CARPELAW PLLC 5202 223 rd Street SE Bothell, Washington 98021 (206) 624-2379 - (206) 535-2930 (fax)	

Case 3:17-cv-00032-SLG Document 1 Filed 02/17/17 Page 21 of 32

Bothell, Washington 98021 (206) 624-2379 - (206) 535-2930 (fax)

CLAIM SIX
TRESPASS TO CHATTELS

Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 - 185 as though fully set

2

1

3

188.

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

forth herein.

180. At all times relevant hereta. Plaintiff had the absolute right to passession of

- 189. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff had the absolute right to possession of her pet and companion, Lil Bawb.
- 190. Defendants asserted dominion over and control of Lil Bawb during an OTF filming session.
- 191. In furtherance of that dominion and control, and without notification to Plaintiff, Defendants secreted and locked Lil Bawb, without protection, in a trailer that was below zero in temperature and life-threatening to Lil Bawb.
- 192. Defendants intended to so secret and lock Lil Bawb into the life-threatening condition without notification to Plaintiff and in interference with Plaintiff's right of possession of Lil Bawb.
- 193. Plaintiff did not know of the interference until she heard Lil Bawb creating a ruckus as a result of his
- 194. But for Defendants' interference with Plaintiff's right of possession of Lil Bawb, Plaintiff would not have suffered the loss.
- 195. As a result of Defendants' interference, Plaintiff was injured and thereby damaged in an amount to be proved at trial.

CLAIM SEVEN FALSE IMPRISONMENT

196. Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 - 193 as though fully set forth herein.

COMPLAINT - 22

CARPELAW PLLC 5202 223rd Street SE Bothell, Washington 98021 (206) 624-2379 - (206) 535-2930 (fax)

Case 3:17-cv-00032-SLG Document 1 Filed 02/17/17 Page 22 of 32

Case 3:17-cv-00032-SLG Document 1 Filed 02/17/17 Page 24 of 32

(206) 624-2379 - (206) 535-2930 (fax)

1	extensive, serious, and personally debilitating permanent injuries resulting from the crash		
2	of the snow machine.		
3	217.	But for Defendants' compulsion of Plaintiff to perform in the manner	
4	commanded by Defendants, Plaintiff would not have suffered the injuries she sustained.		
5	218.	. As a result of the injuries sustained by Plaintiff, she was damaged in an	
6	amount to be	proved at trial.	
7	CLAIM TEN INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS		
8	210		
9	219.	Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges paragraphs $1 - 216$ as though fully set	
10	forth herein.		
11	220.	Over Plaintiff's objections and cautions, Defendants nevertheless	
12	commanded and compelled Plaintiff to engage in activities that were life-threatening.		
13	221.	In so commanding and compelling, Defendants acted intentionally or with	
14	reckless disregard to the dangers and Plaintiff's protestations.		
15	222.	Because the acts commanded and compelled by Defendants were life-	
16	threatening and Defendants had been warned or otherwise knew the actions were life-		
17	threatening or otherwise dangerous, Defendants' conduct was extreme and outrageous.		
18	223.	Defendants' conduct was the cause of Plaintiff's injuries and the severe	
19	emotional distress suffered by Plaintiff.		
20	224.	As a result, Plaintiff was damaged in an amount to be proved at trial. CLAIM ELEVEN	
21	NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS		
22	225.	Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges paragraphs $1 - 222$ as though fully set	
23	forth herein.	Traintiff hereby repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 – 222 as though runy set	
24			
25	226.	Plaintiff commanded and compelled Plaintiff to engage in activities that	
26	were life-thre	atening.	
	COMPLAINT	- 25 CARPELAW PLLC 5202 223 rd Street SE Bothell, Washington 98021 (206) 624-2379 - (206) 535-2930 (fax)	

Case 3:17-cv-00032-SLG Document 1 Filed 02/17/17 Page 25 of 32

Case 3:17-cv-00032-SLG Document 1 Filed 02/17/17 Page 26 of 32

25

26

irrevocably release and forever discharge Producer and Network, any sponsor of the Series or any other person or entity involved in the development, production, distribution or other exploitation of the Series or any derivative work thereof, and their respective affiliates, licensees, successors and assigns (collectively, the "Released Parties") from and against any and all claims, demands, actions, suits, costs, attorneys' fees, damages, judgments, orders and liabilities of whatever kind or nature (collectively, the "Claims") directly or indirectly related to or arising from my participation in the Series and Producer's and/or Network's use of the Footage and Material pursuant to this Agreement, including, without limitation, any claims for any injury, illness, death, damage, loss or harm to me or my property, libel, slander, defamation, false light, invasion of privacy, right of publicity or personality, misappropriation, intentional infliction of emotional distress, negligent infliction of emotional distress and false imprisonment. I and the other Releasing Parties hereby unconditionally and irrevocably agree that neither I nor the other Releasing Parties will sue or make any claim against any of the Released Parties with respect to any Claim released herein, except for Producer's breach of this Agreement.

- 237. The language in paragraph 10 was drafted solely by Defendants and without input from Plaintiff.
- 238. The language in paragraph 10 was represented to be "standard" language for releases in the television show industry.
- 239. The language in paragraph 10 is solely beneficial to Defendants and purports to relieve Defendants of any and all liability that might arise from their acts, whether negligent or intentional.
 - 240. Paragraph 11 of the Participant Agreement reads:
 - 11. ASSUMPTION OF RISKS. I acknowledge that my participation in the Series may involve hazardous activities and situations (including, but not limited to, traveling in off terrain vehicles, small planes, or watercrafts; consuming exotic and/or raw foods; being filmed or traveling during harsh and unpredictable weather conditions; enduring long periods of isolation with other participants and Producer's crew; encountering wild animals; and using firearms or being in close proximity to someone using firearms). As a result of my participation in such activities, I acknowledge that I may suffer serious injuries, which could result in my death. Nevertheless, I am voluntarily participating in these activities with knowledge of the danger involved and I assume all risks of personal injury (including death) to

COMPLAINT - 27

myself associate with my participation in the Series. I assume responsibility for obtaining all desired and/or required insurance coverage for myself. I agree that I will not bring or be a party to any legal action or claim against any Released Party. In addition, I acknowledge that there is a possibility that subsequent to the execution of this Agreement, I may discover facts or incur or suffer claims which were unknown or unsuspected at the time this Agreement was executed, and which if known by me at that time may have materially affected my decision to execute this Agreement. I acknowledge and agree that by reason of this Agreement, and the releases contained in the preceding paragraphs, I am assuming any risk of such unknown facts and such unknown and unsuspected claims

- 241. The language in paragraph 11 was drafted solely by Defendants and without input from Plaintiff.
- 242. The language in paragraph 11 was represented to be "standard" language for releases in the television show industry.
- 243. The language in paragraph 11 is solely beneficial to Defendants and purports to relieve Defendants of any and all liability that might arise from their acts, whether negligent or intentional, where those acts put Plaintiff at risk.
- 244. Prior to entering into the contractual relationship with Defendants, Plaintiff was wholly inexperienced in matters of the nature of the subject matter of the Participant Agreement.
- 245. When entering into the Participant Agreement, Plaintiff was represented neither by counsel nor by an agent experienced in these matters.
- 246. Language in a contract that removes liability from a party for intentional wrongs is contrary to public policy and is unconscionable.

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

COMPLAINT - 28

- That the Court finds that Defendants breached the implied covenant of good faith in the Participant Agreement and award Plaintiff damages as proved thereon as alleged in Claim One.
- That the Court finds that Defendants breached the implied covenant of fair dealing in the Participant Agreement and award Plaintiff damages as proved thereon as alleged in Claim Two.
- 3. That the Court finds that the Defendants breached paragraph 12 of the Participant Agreement vesting in Plaintiff the absolute right to determine if she is physically and mentally able to participate in the Series and award Plaintiff damages as proved thereon in Claim Three.
- 4. That the Court finds that the Defendants breached that portion of paragraph 6 of the Participant Agreement prohibiting Defendants from fictionalizing an event and award Plaintiff damages as proved thereon in Claim Four.
- 5. That the Court finds that Defendants interjected themselves into Plaintiff's actual and prospective business relationships and expectancies solely for the benefit of Defendants and award Plaintiff damages as proved thereon in Claim Five.
- 6. That the Court finds that Defendants committed trespass to chattels with respect to Plaintiff's companion and pet, Lil Bawb, and award Plaintiff damages as proved thereon in Claim Six.
- 7. That the Court finds that Defendants falsely imprisoned Plaintiff and failed to provide to her a means of escape and award Plaintiff damages as proved thereon in Claim Seven.
- 8. That the Court finds that Defendants misused the authority vested in them by the Participant Agreement and that their misuse and demands constituted outrage and award Plaintiff damages as proved thereon in Claim Eight.

26 COMPLAINT - 29

21

22

23

24

25

Bothell, Washington 98021 (206) 624-2379 - (206) 535-2930 (fax)

1	Dated this 17 th day of February 2017.	
2		Respectfully submitted,
3		STEVEN M. WELLS
4		s/ Steven M. Wells
5		Steven M. Wells, AKBA #0010066 Attorney for Plaintiff
6		431 W 7th Ave Ste 107 Anchorage, AK 99501
7		Telephone: (907) 279-3557
8		Facsimile: (907) 279-3558 Email: steve@stevenmwellslaw.com
9		
10		CARPELAW PLLC
11		<u>s/Robert S. Apgood</u> Robert S. Apgood, WSBA #31023
12		Attorney for Plaintiff Pro Hac Vice
13		CARPELAW PLLC
14		5202 223 rd St SE Bothell, WA 98021-8075
15		Telephone: (206) 624-2379 Facsimile: (206) 535-2930
16		Email: rob@carpelaw.com
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

COMPLAINT - 31

Dated this 17th day of February 2017. 1 Respectfully submitted, 2 STEVEN M. WELLS 3 4 Steven M. Wells Steven M. Wells, AKBA #0010066 5 Attorney for Plaintiff 6 431 W 7th Ave Ste 107 Anchorage, AK 99501 7 Telephone: (907) 279-3557 Facsimile: (907) 279-3558 8 Email: steve@stevenmwellslaw.com 9 10 CARPELAW PLLC 11 s/ Robert S. Apgood Robert S. Apgood, WSBA #31023 12 Attorney for Plaintiff Pro Hac Vice 13 CARPELAW PLLC 5202 223rd St SE 14 Bothell, WA 98021-8075 Telephone: (206) 624-2379 15 Facsimile: (206) 535-2930 16 Email: rob@carpelaw.com 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

COMPLAINT - 30